



## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

2166

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |

09/302,336 04/29/99 AVERILL | R 54839USA3A |

EXAMINER | TM02/0425 | RIMELL,S |

OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL | ARTUNIT | PAPER NUMBER |

OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL

3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY

P O BOX BOX 33427

ST PAUL MN 55133-3427

04/25/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

le

		<b>4</b>			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/302,336	AVERILL ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sam Rimell	2166		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)□		his action is non-final.			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	on of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
8) 🔲 (	Claims are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
	The proposed drawing correction filed on	•	proved.		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			,	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		on No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) 🗌 🛚 A	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e). SAM RIM PLUMY EX. AU 2.166	ELL HULL	
Attachment(s)  AU 2				ŝ	
16) 🔲 Notica	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	<b></b> ·	

Application/Control Number: 09/302,336

Art Unit: 2166

In response to the restriction requirement, applicant has elected claims 1-12. In particular, applicant has traversed the combination/subcombination requirement, by arguing that multiple dependencies in the claims to the combination do not prove that the combination does not require the particulars of the of the subcombination. Examiner maintains that when multiple dependencies are used to claim the combination, it proves that the particulars of the subcombination are not critical to the combination. The restriction requirement is maintained and made final.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is non-statutory

Claims 1-12 are addressed to a method of categorizing and subcategorzing standard medical codes used in describing medical care.

The claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a useful, concrete and tangible result (*State StreetBank and Trust Co. V. Signature Fianancial Group, Inc.* 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ 2<sup>nd</sup> at 1601-02).

A process that consists solely of the manipulation of an abstract idea or abstract ideas is not concrete or tangible (*In re Warmerdam* 33F.3d1354,1360, 31 USPQ 2<sup>nd</sup> 1754, 1759, (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Only when a claim is devoid of any limitation to a practical application in the technological arts should it be rejected under 35 USC 101 (MPEP 2106).

Application/Control Number: 09/302,336

Art Unit: 2166

Claims 1-12 are non-statutory since they only involve the manipulation of categories of medical codes. The manipulation of these medical codes is not claimed as producing any concrete or tangible outcome, and thus do not meet the requirements of *In re Warmerdam* recited above for the establishment of a statutory invention.

In order to address this rejection, applicant may wish to further define a concrete or tangible outcome, such as "classification of a patient medical condition", "classifying costs associated with medical care" or "predicting future costs associated with medical care".

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2166